

## Wisconsin Department of Commerce PECFA Program

**Bid Round number** 07  
**PECFA number:** 54220-5437-15  
**BRRTS number:** 03-36-116238  
**Site Owner:** Reginald Buran  
**Site Name:** Calumet Citgo  
**Site Address:** 3915 Calumet Avenue, Manitowoc, WI

**Project Manager:** Dee Zoellner  
**Project Manager address:** 2129 Jackson St., Oshkosh WI 54901  
**Project Manager phone:** 920-424-0046  
**Project Manager e-mail address** [Dzoellner@commerce.state.wi.us](mailto:Dzoellner@commerce.state.wi.us)

### Questions and Answers

1. Were new tanks installed?

Answer: No

2. Were soils removed?

Answer: No

3. Was there any groundwater monitoring performed since tank removal and/or report submittal on Feb. 23, 1999?

Answer: Yes – MW 7 on 4/12/99. The only detects were MtBE = <0.22 and Trimethylbenzenes = <0.86

4. Do any other structures remain on site?

Answers: The buildings remain unless the RP has removed it since the tank pull.

5. Were any groundwater monitoring wells removed or damaged during tank removal activities?

Answer: No The monitoring wells were in working condition the last time the wells were sampled.

6. Was all UST piping and dispensers removed during tank removal?

Answer: Piping and UST's were removed. There may be some piping left, since it was pulled out without breaking up the pavement around the islands. The dispensers and islands were left in place.

7. Has the UST system been removed?

Answer: Yes

8. What area of the property remains paved?

Answer: Everything but where the tanks were removed from.

9. Where was the “tank pit” soil sample collected from?

Answer: Within the tank pit at 12’

10. Why was the tank pit sample collected?

Answer: Unknown

11. Can the Department provide information on the soil analytical for GP-1 – GP-4 and GP-9- GP-13?

Answer: This data was omitted at the original time of copying. Those that ordered a copy of the report should have received this data as the copy shop caught this and recopied these tables.

12. Does the Department feel that the consultant needs to address the TCE detects in MW5 and the 1,2 DCA detects in MW6?

Answer: Any parameter with detection needs to be verified.

13. The project manager comments state that no environmental conditions exist, or none were identified in the report. However the soil concentrations reported at GP5 from 4-6’ report concentrations in excess of Csat values which indicates recordable levels starting from 0-2’. Based on this information can the department indicate how there are no direct contact issues at this site?

Answer: The Environmental Factor – Free Product is proven by product that is not in dissolved phase is present with a thickness of 0.01 feet or more, as shown by repeated measurements. No measured product was measured in the temporary well located within that geoprobe.

14. Does the Department feel that the vertical extent is properly defined since there are no Piezometers on the site?

Answer: Yes the site investigation is complete.